UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

KARL MITCHELL AND KAYLA MITCHELL,

Plaintiffs

v.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

23 | / / / /

NYE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, et al.,

Defendants

Case No.: 2:20-cv-00086-APG-VCF

Order Granting Motion to Dismiss

[ECF No. 23]

Defendant Nye County Sheriff's Office moves to be dismissed from this case because it is not a suable entity. ECF No. 23. Plaintiffs Karl and Kayla Mitchell concede that the Sheriff's 11 Office is a subdivision of Nye County that cannot be sued under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. ECF No. 27 12 at 4. But they contend the Sheriff's Office is a proper defendant for their state law claims. They 13 cite no law for that proposition. And it appears the Supreme Court of Nevada would disagree. 14 That court has held "that the Washoe County District Attorney's office is not a suable entity 15 because it is a department of Washoe County, not a political subdivision." Wayment v. Holmes, 16|| 912 P.2d 816, 819 (1996). "The State of Nevada has not waived immunity on behalf of its 17 departments of political subdivisions, and the Washoe County District Attorney's office has not 18 been conferred the power to sue and be sued." *Id.* The Nye County Sheriff's Office is, similarly, 19 a department of Nye County. So it, too, is not a suable entity under either federal or state law. 20 The Mitchells cannot maintain their claims against the Sheriff's Office, so I will dismiss their 21 claims against it. 22||////

1	I THEREFORE ORDER that Nye County's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 23) is
2	GRANTED . The Nye County Sheriff's Office is dismissed from this case with prejudice.
3	DATED this 10th day of March, 2020.
4	ANDREW P. GORDON
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10 11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	